UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

X	
JASON MEACHEN,	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff	NO.:1:13-cv-11359-FDS
VS.	
IOWA PACIFIC HOLDINGS, LLC; and MASSACHUSETTS COASTAL RAILROAD, LLC	
Defendants X	AUGUST 5, 2013

AMENDED COMPLAINT

NATURE OF ACTION

1. The plaintiff brings this action against the Defendants for injuries suffered by him while in the employ of the Defendant Railroad and/or Railroads.

JURISDICTION

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction in this case pursuant to the Federal Employers' Liability Act, 45 U.S.C. § 51 *et seq*. Venue properly lies in this Court pursuant to 45 U.S.C. § 56.

PARTIES

3. The plaintiff is of Buzzards Bay, Massachusetts.

- 4. Defendant Massachusetts Coastal Railroad, LLC ("Mass Coastal") is a railroad corporation duly established by law, engaged in interstate commerce and having a usual place of business in Wareham, Massachusetts.
- 5. Defendant Iowa Pacific Holdings, LLC ("IPH") is a corporation duly established by law, engaged in interstate commerce and having a usual place of business in Chicago, Illinois and for purposes of this action, was and is a railroad that operated as a common carrier engaged in interstate commerce by regularly and purposefully conducting business in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, including Wareham, Massachusetts, and by having employees in Massachusetts, including the plaintiff.
- 6. Defendant IPH was and is a corporation charged with the duty of the management and operation of the business of Defendant Mass Coastal, and is therefore, for purposes of this action, a common carrier engaged in interstate commerce by rail within the meaning of 45 U.S.C.A. Sec. 57.
- 7. Defendant IPH and/or Defendant Mass Coastal were responsible for decisions pertaining to plaintiff's work rules, train operations, track and equipment maintenance, track and equipment inspections and track and equipment repairs.
- 8. Defendant IPH controlled the manner and methods of the operation of Defendant Mass Coastal.
 - 9. The plaintiff was and is an employee of Defendant Mass Coastal.
- 10. The plaintiff was and is an employee of Defendant IPH in that the plaintiff was under the dominion and control of IPH who, at all times material hereto, actually

and completely dominated and controlled Defendant Mass Coastal and, for purposes of this action, is also deemed the employer of the plaintiff.

- 11. On or about February 4, 2013, Defendant IPH distributed a Memorandum to the plaintiff stating "Attention: All Employees ... From: Human Resources ... Subject: Human Resources Inquiries."
- 12. On or about February 4, 2013 Defendant IPH instructed the plaintiff that "all HR, Benefits and Payroll inquiries including: questions, paperwork, documents, employee changes, forms, general requests, timesheets, pay issues, cellphone & device request, certificate of insurance requests, employee verifications, etc. should be sent to the HR email address at HR@iowapacific.com or faxed to 312-509-4030."
- 13. On or about May 1, 2013 IPH's Vice President for Human Resources, Dan Marko, thanked the plaintiff for his hard work and dedication to Iowa Pacific Holdings.
- 14. On or about May 1, 2013 IPH's Vice President for Human Resources, Dan Marko, informed the plaintiff that IPH is continually striving to offer competitive wages and benefits for our employees, and accordingly, IPH is increasing your salary to recognize your valuable contributions to the company.
- 15. On or about May 1, 2013 Defendant IPH gave the plaintiff a "Merit Increase" and increased the plaintiff's hourly pay rate, effective April 1, 2013 to \$20.70 per hour.
- 16. On or about May 1, 2013 Defendant IPH's Vice President for Human Resources, Dan Marko, informed the plaintiff that if he had any questions about the new hourly rate that he should call him.

- 17. During all times herein mentioned, the Defendant Mass Coastal and/or Defendant IPH were common carriers engaged in the business of interstate commerce.
- 18. At the time the plaintiff received the injuries complained of, he was employed by Defendant Mass Coastal and/or Defendant IPH as a Trackman/Boom Truck Operator.
- 19. At the time the plaintiff received the injuries complained of, Defendant Mass Coastal and/or Defendant IPH were engaged in interstate commerce.
- 20. At the time the plaintiff received the injuries complained of, he was employed in furtherance of interstate commerce.
- 21. On or about May 10, 2013, the plaintiff was engaged in his duties as a Boom Truck Operator at or about Lakeville, Massachusetts, which Bridge, lines, tracks, switches, rails, boom truck and all other equipment and appliances appurtenant thereto were owned and/or operated and/or controlled and/or maintained by Defendant Mass Coastal and/or Defendant IPH.
- 22. At the time the plaintiff received the injuries complained of, he was working with a Foreman and an Assistant Road Master to replace a large piece of rail with the boom truck.
- 23. At the time the plaintiff received the injuries complained of, the remote controls for the boom was inside the boom truck and had not been functioning for a considerable period of time despite repeated requests to repair or replace the remote controls.

- 24. At the time the plaintiff received the injuries complained of, he was operating the controls located at the back of the boom truck to lift a 39 foot piece of rail which spun and struck his right leg crushing it against an outrigger. Although the plaintiff's right lower leg was partially amputated, he was able to operate the controls to move the rail to free his leg.
- 25. Defendant Mass Coastal and/or Defendant IPH, their agents, servants, and employees were negligent in one or more of the following ways:
 - a) failed to repair or replace the remote controls for operating the boom truck;
 - b) failed to properly inspect, maintain and repair the boom truck;
 - c) failed to properly supervise and train the plaintiff.
- 26. As a result of the negligence in whole or in part of Defendant Mass Coastal and/or Defendant IPH, their agents, servants, or employees, the plaintiff was injured and sustained a partially amputated right leg with multiple fractures and other serious injuries.
- 27. As a result of the failure of Defendant Mass Coastal and/or Defendant IPH, their agents, servants, or employees to use reasonable care to provide the plaintiff with a safe place in which to work, including but not limited to furnishing him with safe and suitable appliances and equipment, the plaintiff was injured.
- 28. As a result of the said injuries, the plaintiff has lost wages and benefits, incurred medical expenses, suffered physical pain and mental anguish and will continue

to do so in the future, has permanent loss of function, has permanent disfigurement and has an impairment to his future earning capacity.

WHEREFORE, in order to fairly and justly compensate the negligently injured plaintiff and thereby promote safe operating conditions on the defendant Railroads, the plaintiff demands a judgment for monetary damages against the defendants in addition to any further relief the Court deems just and equitable.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY.

By his attorneys,

George J. Cahill, Jr. (BBO #069480)

CAHILL & PERRY, P.C. 43 Trumbull Street

New Haven, Connecticut 06510 Telephone: (203) 777-1000

Fax: (203) 865-5904 cahill@trainlaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 5, 2013, a copy of the foregoing document was

filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent by e-mail to all parties by operation

of the Court's electronic filing system or by mail to anyone unable to accept electronic

filing as indicated on the Notice of Electronic Filing. Parties may access this filing

through the Court's CM/ECF System.

/s/ George J. Cahill, Jr.

George J. Cahill, Jr. (BBO #069480)

8